



Santa Clara Law Santa Clara Law Digital Commons

Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act
Litigation

Research Projects and Empirical Data

3-7-2011

Virginia v. Sebelius - AARP Amicus Brief

AARP

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digitalcommons.law.scu.edu/aca>



Part of the [Health Law Commons](#)

Automated Citation

AARP, "Virginia v. Sebelius - AARP Amicus Brief" (2011). *Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act Litigation*. Paper 6.
<http://digitalcommons.law.scu.edu/aca/6>

This Amicus Brief is brought to you for free and open access by the Research Projects and Empirical Data at Santa Clara Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act Litigation by an authorized administrator of Santa Clara Law Digital Commons. For more information, please contact sculawlibrarian@gmail.com.

Nos. 11-1057 & 11-1058

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT

COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA, EX REL. KENNETH T. CUCCINELLI, II,
In his official capacity as Attorney General of Virginia,
Plaintiff-Appellee/Cross-Appellant,

v.

KATHLEEN SEBELIUS, Secretary of the Department of Health and
Human Services, in her official capacity,
Defendant-Appellant/Cross-Appellee.

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA

BRIEF *AMICUS CURIAE* OF AARP
IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT-APPELLANT/CROSS-APPELLEE
AND REVERSAL

STUART R. COHEN
Counsel of Record
STACY CANAN
AARP FOUNDATION LITIGATION

MICHAEL SCHUSTER
AARP

601 E Street, NW
Washington, DC 20049
202 434-2060

COUNSEL FOR *AMICUS CURIAE*

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FOURTH CIRCUIT
DISCLOSURE OF CORPORATE AFFILIATIONS AND OTHER INTERESTS

Only one form needs to be completed for a party even if the party is represented by more than one attorney. Disclosures must be filed on behalf of all parties to a civil, agency, bankruptcy or mandamus case. Corporate defendants in a criminal or post-conviction case and corporate amici curiae are required to file disclosure statements. Counsel has a continuing duty to update this information.

No. _____ Caption: _____

Pursuant to FRAP 26.1 and Local Rule 26.1,

_____ who is _____, makes the following disclosure:
(name of party/amicus) (appellant/appellee/amicus)

1. Is party/amicus a publicly held corporation or other publicly held entity? YES NO
2. Does party/amicus have any parent corporations? YES NO
If yes, identify all parent corporations, including grandparent and great-grandparent corporations:
3. Is 10% or more of the stock of a party/amicus owned by a publicly held corporation or other publicly held entity? YES NO
If yes, identify all such owners:
4. Is there any other publicly held corporation or other publicly held entity that has a direct financial interest in the outcome of the litigation (Local Rule 26.1(b))? YES NO
If yes, identify entity and nature of interest:
5. Is party a trade association? (amici curiae do not complete this question) YES NO
If yes, identify any publicly held member whose stock or equity value could be affected substantially by the outcome of the proceeding or whose claims the trade association is pursuing in a representative capacity, or state that there is no such member:
6. Does this case arise out of a bankruptcy proceeding? YES NO
If yes, identify any trustee and the members of any creditors' committee:

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on _____ the foregoing document was served on all parties or their counsel of record through the CM/ECF system if they are registered users or, if they are not, by serving a true and correct copy at the addresses listed below:

(signature)

(date)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
RULE 26.1 CERTIFICATION	i
TABLE OF AUTHORITIES	iv
STATEMENT OF INTEREST	1
ARGUMENT	3
INTRODUCTION	3
I. CONGRESS HAD THE CONSTITUTIONAL AUTHORITY TO ENACT THE ACA WHERE THERE WAS A RATIONAL BASIS FOR CONCLUDING THAT THE MINIMUM COVERAGE PROVISION WILL HAVE A SUBSTANTIAL EFFECT ON INTERSTATE COMMERCE	5
II. THE ACA SOUGHT TO ELIMINATE THE UNAVAILABILITY AND UNAFFORDABILITY OF HEALTH INSURANCE FOR MILLIONS OF AMERICANS, ESPECIALLY 50 TO 64 YEAR OLDS WHO MUST PURCHASE HEALTH INSURANCE ON THE INDIVIDUAL MARKET.	8
A. People 50 to 64 Are Systematically Denied Coverage or Priced Out of the Individual Market Because of Their High Incidences of Pre-Existing and Chronic Conditions.....	10
B. Age-Rating Substantially Raises Standard Insurance Rates Based Solely on Age Making Insurance Unaffordable for People 50 to 64.....	13
III. UNINSURED AND UNDERINSURED PEOPLE SUFFER WORSE HEALTH OUTCOMES REQUIRING MORE INTENSIVE AND COSTLIER CARE WHEN THEY ENTER MEDICARE AT 65 THAN PREVIOUSLY INSURED PEOPLE	20

IV.	MILLIONS OF UNINSURED PEOPLE HAVE ACCUMULATED LARGE MEDICAL DEBTS AND LOST THE ABILITY TO LEAVE OR MOVE JOBS.....	23
A.	The Uninsured and Underinsured Incur Greater Medical Debt and Experience More Financial Difficulties, Including Bankruptcy, Than Insured People.....	23
B.	Older People Who Cannot Afford to Lose Their Job Based Health Insurance Experience Job Lock.....	24
V.	THE ACA’S CREATION OF A ROBUST INSURANCE POOL SPREADS INSURANCE RISKS, WHICH IN TURN ALLOWS FOR AFFORDABLE HEALTH CARE INSURANCE OPTIONS FOR AMERICANS OF ALL AGES AND WITH CHRONIC OR PRE-EXISTING HEALTH CONDITIONS.	26
	CONCLUSION	29
	CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE.....	30
	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	31

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

<i>Gonzales v. Raich</i> , 545 U.S. 1 (2005).....	6
<i>U.S. v. South-Eastern Underwriters</i> , 322 U.S. 533 (1944).....	7

Statutes, Regulations and Rules

Fed. R. App. P. 29	1
Fed. Reg. Vol. 74, No. 14, Jan. 23, 2009	19
Fed. Reg. Vol. 75, No. 148, Aug. 3, 2010	19
26 U.S.C.A. § 36B(a)-(c).	26
42 U.S.C.A. § 18091(a).	10
42 U.S.C.A. § 18091(a)(2)(F)	7
42 U.S.C.A. § 18091(a)(2)(I)	27
42 U.S.C.A. § 300gg	28
42 U.S.C.A. §300gg-1(a).	28
42 U.S.C.A. § 300gg(a)(1)(A)(iii).	15, 28
Pub. L. No. 111-148, 124 Stat. 119 (2010).....	5
Pub. L. No. 111-152, 124 Stat. 1029 (2010).....	5

Other Legislative Materials

Hinda Chaikind et al., <i>Private Health Insurance Provisions in the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (PPACA)</i> , Prepared for Members and Comms. of Cong., Congressional Research Service (May 4, 2010)	26
<i>Comprehensive Health Reform Discussion Draft: Hearing Before the S. Comm. on Health of the H. Comm. on Energy and Commerce</i> , 111th Cong. (June 23, 2009), (statement of Jennie Chin Hansen, President of the Board, AARP).	3
H.R. Rep. No. 111-443 (2010).....	11, 12
<i>The Instability of Health Coverage in America: Hearing Before the S. Comm. on Health of H. Comm. on Ways and Means</i> , 110th Cong. (Apr. 15, 2008) (statement of John Z. Ayanian, MD, Prof. of Med. and Health Care Policy, Harvard Med. School).....	23
<i>The Instability of Health Coverage in America: Hearing Before the S. Comm. on Health of H. Comm. on Ways and Means</i> , 110th Cong. (Apr. 15, 2008) (statement of Stephen Finan, Assoc. Dir. of Policy, American Cancer Society).....	13
<i>The Instability of Health Coverage in America: Hearing Before the S. Comm. on Health of H. Comm. on Ways and Means</i> , 110th Cong. (Apr. 15, 2008) (statement of Diane Rowland, Exec. V.P. of the Kaiser Family Foundation)	7, 9

Other Authorities

<i>A Summary of the 2010 Annual Reports</i> , Social Security and Medicare Bds. of Trustees (Aug. 5, 2010).....	6
Julie Appleby, <i>Health Insurance: How Much More Should Older People Pay?</i> , Kaiser Health News (Aug 31, 2009), http://www.kaiserhealthnews.org/Stories/2009/August/31/age-rating.aspx	15
Drew Altman, <i>Health Reform's Six-Month Checkup</i> , The Kaiser Family Foundation (Sept. 23, 2010)	6

<i>At Risk: Pre-Existing Conditions Could Affect 1 in 2 Americans</i> , U.S. Dept. of Health and Human Servs. (Jan. 18, 2011), http://www.healthcare.gov/center/reports/preexisting.html	11, 25
David W. Baker, MD, M.P.H. et al., <i>Lack Of Health Insurance And Decline In Overall Health In Late Middle Age</i> , 345 New Eng. J. Med. 1106 (2001), available at http://www.nejm.org/doi/pdf/10.1056/NEJMsa002887	21
J. Banthin & D. Bernard, <i>Analysis of Medical Expenditure Panel Surveys</i> , unpublished data provided to AARP, Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality	23
Jill Bernstein et al., Issue Brief, <i>How Does Insurance Coverage Improve Health Outcomes?</i> Mathematica Policy Research, Inc. (Apr. 2010)	20
Linda J. Blumberg et al., <i>Age Rating Under Comprehensive Health Care Reform: Implications for Coverage, Costs, and Household Financial Burdens</i> , Urban Institute (Oct. 2009)	14, 19
Gary Claxton, Inst. for Health Care Research and Policy, Georgetown Univ., <i>How Private Insurance Works: A Primer</i> , The Kaiser Family Foundation (Apr. 2002).	26
Sara R. Collins et al., <i>Realizing Health Reform's Potential: Adults Ages 50-64 and the Affordable Care Act of 2010</i> , The Commonwealth Fund (Dec. 2010)	8, 10
Ehealthinsurance.com at https://www.ehealthinsurance.com/about-ehealth-index	15
<i>Fact Sheet: The Health Care Law and Improved Health Insurance Practices</i> , AARP (June 2010), http://www.aarp.org/health/health-care-reform/info-06-2010/fact_sheet_health_law_improved_health_insurance_practices.html	6
Robert W. Fairlie et al., <i>Is Employer-Based Health Insurance a Barrier to Entrepreneurship?</i> Rand Corp., (Sept. 2010), (working paper), http://www.rand.org/pubs/working_papers/WR637-1.html	25

Jonathan Gruber, <i>Health Care Reform without the Individual Mandate</i> , Ctr. for American Progress (Feb. 2011), available at http://www.americanprogress.org/issues/2011/02/gruber_mandate.html	27
<i>Health Insurance: CR Investigates Health Care</i> , Consumer Reports (Sept. 2007).....	12
<i>Health Insurance Rate Regulation</i> , NAIC & the Ctr. for Ins. and Policy Research, https://www.naic.org/documents/topics_health_insurance_rate_regulation_brief.pdf	14
David Himmelstein, Deborah Thorne, Elizabeth Warren & Steffie Woolhandler, <i>Medical Bankruptcy in the United States, 2007: Results of a National Study</i> , <i>American Journal of Medicine</i> , 122 Am. J. Med. 741 (2009).....	8, 24
<i>Individual Market Rate Restrictions</i> , The Kaiser Family Foundation, statehealthfacts.org (2010), http://www.statehealthfacts.org/comparetable.jsp?ind=354&cat=7	15
Gretchen Jacobson et al., <i>Health Insurance for Older Adults: Implications of a Medicare Buy-In</i> , The Kaiser Family Foundation, http://www.kff.org/healthreform/upload/7904-02.pdf (Dec. 2009)	9, 19, 22
<i>Kaiser Health Tracking Poll: Election 2008</i> , The Kaiser Family Foundation (Aug. 19, 2008), available at http://www.kff.org/kasierpolls/h08_posr081908pkg.com	24
Steven Machlin et al., <i>Health Care Expenses for Adults with Chronic Conditions, 2005</i> , Statistical Brief #203, Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (May 2008).....	11
J. Michael McWilliams et al., <i>Health Insurance Coverage and Mortality Among the Near-Elderly</i> , 23 <i>Health Affairs</i> , 223 (2004)	20
J. Michael McWilliams, M.D. et al., <i>Impact of Medicare Coverage on Basic Clinical Services for Previously Uninsured Adults</i> , <i>JAMA</i> 290 (Aug. 2003).....	22

J. Michael McWilliams et al, <i>Use of Health Services by Previously Uninsured Medicare Beneficiaries</i> , 347 New Eng. J. Med. 143 (2007)	21, 22
Mark Merlis, <i>Health Coverage for the High-Risk Uninsured: Policy Options for Design of the Temporary High-Risk Pool</i> , 2 Policy Analysis, National Institute for Health Care Reform (May 2010).	12
<i>National Health Expenditure Data Fact Sheet</i> , Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, available at http://www.cms.gov/NationalHealthExpendData/25_NHE_Fact_Sheet.asp	7
Len Nichols, Dir., Ctr. for Health Policy Research and Ethics at George Mason Univ., Comment to <i>Age Rating: Battle of the Generations</i> , National Law Journal Expert Blogs (Aug. 31, 2009), http://healthcare.nationaljournal.com/2009/08/age-rating-battle-of-the-gener.php	14
Lynn Nonnemaker, PhD, <i>Beyond Age Rating: Spreading Risk in Health Insurance Markets</i> , AARP Public Policy Inst., Insight on the Issues I35 (October 2009).	19
Karen Pollitz et al., <i>How Accessible is Individual Health Insurance for Consumers in Less-Than-Perfect Health?</i> Georgetown Univ. Inst. For Health Care Research and Policy, The Kaiser Family Foundation (June 2001)	13
Diane Rowland et al., <i>Health Care and the Middle Class: More Costs and Less Coverage</i> , The Kaiser Family Foundation (July 2009), available at http://www.kff.org/healthreform/upload/7951.pdf	6, 9, 21
Gerry Smolka et al., <i>Health Care Reform: What's at Stake for 50- to 64-Year-Olds?</i> AARP Public Policy Inst. (Mar. 2009)	8, 9, 23
K.T. Stroupe et al., <i>Chronic Illness and Health Insurance-Related Job Lock</i> , 20 J. Policy Analysis and Mgmt. 525 (2001)	25
<i>The Employment Situation – January 2011</i> , Bureau of Labor Statistics, Dept. of Labor, at http://www.bls.gov/news.release/pdf/empisit.pdf	9

<i>Understanding Individual Health Insurance Markets: Structure, Practices, and Products in Ten States</i> , The Kaiser Family Foundation (Mar. 17, 1998), available at http://www.kff.org/insurance/1376-barrierspr.cfm	9, 10, 12
Paul N. Van de Water, <i>2010 Medicare Trustees' Report Shows Benefits of Health Reform and Need for Its Successful Implementation</i> , Ctr. on Budget and Policy Priorities (Aug. 16, 2010)	6

STATEMENT OF INTEREST¹

AARP is a nonpartisan, nonprofit organization dedicated to addressing the needs and interests of people aged 50 and older. Since its founding in 1958, AARP has advocated for affordable, accessible health care, as well as improved quality of care and controlled healthcare costs. Because older people use health and long-term care services and suffer from chronic diseases in far greater numbers than their younger counterparts, AARP has consistently sought to protect and strengthen Medicare and Medicaid, and improve healthcare delivery, focusing on chronic care coordination and long-term care.

In response to the growing number of older people forgoing health care services and facing financial ruin due to health care and insurance becoming increasingly unaffordable and unavailable, AARP sought legislative solutions that would: protect Medicare benefits; reduce insurance rate disparities based on age or pre-existing conditions; reduce the rate of health care cost increases, including for prescription drugs; and eliminate waste, fraud, and abuse. Since 2007 AARP has collected thousands of personal accounts and testimonials from members and nonmembers describing the emotional and financial devastation they experienced

¹ Pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 29, *amicus* certifies that all parties have consented to the filing of this brief; no party or party's counsel authored this brief in whole or in part; and no person other than *amicus* contributed money intended to fund the brief's preparation or submission.

when they were unable to pay for health insurance, were declined for insurance due to a prior illness, were unable to pay medical bills, or worse, were unable to receive necessary treatment or medicine when sick.

When Congress was debating health reform legislation, AARP's advocacy focused on six key priorities: 1) Guaranteeing access to affordable coverage for Americans age 50 to 64 in the individual market who have faced unaffordable insurance based on their age, pre-existing conditions, or health status; 2) Closing the Medicare Part D prescription drug coverage gap or "doughnut hole" so people are not forced to choose between paying for necessary medication and paying for other needed expenses; 3) Lowering drug costs by increasing availability of generic biologics, which are used to treat serious conditions like cancer, multiple sclerosis, anemia, and rheumatoid arthritis, and can cost as much as \$10,000 or more per month; 4) Reducing costly hospital readmissions through a Medicare Transitional Care Benefit, which helps people safely transition to home or another setting after a hospital stay, thereby preventing costly, unnecessary hospital readmissions; 5) Increasing funding and eligibility for home and community based services for people with chronic conditions, which would save money, improve quality of life for individuals who need these services, and better enable them to live at home; and 6) Helping low-income Americans so that people who saved a

small nest egg can still receive assistance and help with premiums and out-of-pocket health costs.²

ARGUMENT

INTRODUCTION

Beyond the undisputed impact the lack of accessible and affordable health care has on the national economy, there is also a human toll that is paid by millions of Americans annually. People 50 to 64, who do not have job-based coverage and are not eligible for Medicaid or Medicare, have especially been harmed. They need more health services and are more likely to suffer from chronic conditions than their younger counterparts. Yet, they face extreme obstacles to buying insurance on the private market due to industry-wide insurance underwriting and rating practices that discriminate based on health status and age. The consequences have been dire. Not only have too many become laden with a tremendous amount of debt at a time in their lives when they should be saving for retirement, but they have also suffered worse health outcomes, including premature death, than their insured counterparts. Because they often forego or receive inadequate health care, the uninsured and underinsured enter Medicare sicker and in greater need of

² AARP's President of the Board testified on June 23, 2009, before the Committee on Energy and Commerce regarding healthcare priorities for older persons. *See Comprehensive Health Reform Discussion Draft: Hearing Before the S. Comm. on Health of the H. Comm. on Energy and Commerce*, 111th Cong. (June 23, 2009) (statement of Jennie Chin Hansen, President of the Board, AARP).

services than their insured counterparts. Medicare, therefore, shoulders the burden of paying the costs for the services needed to care for those uninsured people once they enter Medicare.

Below are examples from four people, among the thousands who provided their personal stories to AARP, describing their hardships and struggle to afford health care:

Valerie D. from Portsmouth, Virginia (Sept. 17, 2010)

When I turned 63 my insurer wanted to raise my rate to \$934 a month, which I am solely responsible for paying. At the same time my doctor was trying to pin down a diagnosis for some hip pain I've been having. She wanted to do an MRI (cost \$3000) but my insurance wouldn't cover it. She put me on a prescription drug to try and ease the pain; again the insurance company wouldn't cover it. The only thing it seemed they would give me a good rate on was my prescription for minor cholesterol problems. That drug was costing about \$160/month. I couldn't get my MRI and they wanted me to pay \$934 for this privilege! So, unfortunately I was forced to cancel my insurance and reinsure myself with an extremely limited policy. This does not cover my prescription, will not cover the MRI and is very limited in their drug coverage program. [...] So I now pay for almost everything except limited doctor visits and my doctor is trying to control my hip pain until next year when I turn 65 and will be eligible for Medicare.

William M. from Mount Dora, Florida (Jan. 26, 2011)

I am now approaching 62. When I turned 55 my health care and my wife's was covered by my work insurance. This ended with my job, two years ago. My COBRA insurance ended six months ago. This means that I have a little over three years (my wife four years) before Medicare even begins. We are left with only unpaid emergency room care and the cost being passed on to the insured. We are not able to get Medicaid because my wife still works. Both my wife and I have worked and carried health insurance for over 40 years.

Chris L. from Leicester, North Carolina (Sept. 17, 2010)

I still haven't been able to get health insurance that I can afford. I live alone and just turned 64 this month. I have had two heart attacks of which I have three stints. I have atherosclerosis and osteoporosis. No one will insure me at a reasonable rate. My monthly income is very small also, so I can't afford any of the health insurance quotes I have seen.

Sue B. from Decatur, Illinois (Jan. 19, 2011)

I am 54 years old. Shortly after changing jobs from one I'd been at eight years the insurance company on the new job [. . .] denied coverage for pre-existing conditions because I was still in the enrollment period of about three months. This caused me to have to withdraw from my IRA and pay the 10% penalty. This money had been my retirement savings. I had to use it to pay the hospital bill. So in other words after paying premiums for eight years the so-called insurance was not there when I needed it.

I. CONGRESS HAD THE CONSTITUTIONAL AUTHORITY TO ENACT THE ACA WHERE THERE WAS A RATIONAL BASIS FOR CONCLUDING THAT THE MINIMUM COVERAGE PROVISION WILL HAVE A SUBSTANTIAL EFFECT ON INTERSTATE COMMERCE.

When the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act, Pub. L. No. 111-148, 124 Stat. 119 (2010), amended by the Health Care and Education Reconciliation Act, Pub. L. No. 111-152, 124 Stat. 1029 (2010) ("ACA"), was enacted in March 2010, 45 million people across the United States did not have health insurance, primarily because they could not afford it; healthcare costs were outpacing the rate of inflation and the growing cost of health insurance and individuals' contributions

to it was far outpacing wages.³ In response, Congress enacted the ACA, a comprehensive law designed to reduce healthcare costs and ensure that every eligible person has access to affordable health care.⁴

Congress has broad authority to regulate interstate commerce, including activities that “substantially affect” interstate commerce, so long as there is a rational basis for concluding that the “class of activities” has a substantial effect on interstate commerce. *Gonzales v. Raich*, 545 U.S. 1 (2005). Health insurance is

³ Between 2000 and 2008, the cumulative increase in health insurance premiums grew over three times as fast as wage increases. Diane Rowland et al., *Health Care and the Middle Class: More Costs and Less Coverage*, The Kaiser Family Foundation 1, 7 (July 2009), available at <http://www.kff.org/healthreform/upload/7951.pdf>.

⁴ As a result of the ACA, reduced healthcare costs and improved access to care have already been reported. For example, the 2010 Medicare trustees’ annual report states that “[t]he outlook for Medicare has improved substantially because of program changes” made from the ACA. *A Summary of the 2010 Annual Reports*, Social Security and Medicare Bds. of Trustees, (Aug. 5, 2010), <http://www.ssa.gov/oact/TRSUM/index.html>; see also Paul N. Van de Water, *2010 Medicare Trustees’ Report Shows Benefits of Health Reform and Need for Its Successful Implementation*, Ctr. on Budget and Policy Priorities (Aug. 16, 2010).

Improvements in access to care include: adult children up to age 26 remaining on their parents’ insurance; people with pre-existing health conditions getting coverage through state or federal high-risk pools; rebates issued to help pay drug costs for Medicare beneficiaries stuck in the “doughnut hole”; lifetime insurance benefits caps banned; and insurance companies prohibited from withdrawing coverage to people when they get sick. Drew Altman, *Health Reform’s Six-Month Checkup*, The Kaiser Family Foundation (Sept. 23, 2010); *Fact Sheet: The Health Care Law and Improved Health Insurance Practices*, AARP (June 2010), http://www.aarp.org/health/health-care-reform/info-06-2010/fact_sheet_health_law_improved_health_insurance_practices.html.

such an activity. *U.S. v. South-Eastern Underwriters*, 322 U.S. 533 (1944).

Regulating the nationwide healthcare system as a whole involves and affects interstate commerce, which includes the purchase of health insurance. For example, in 2009, health care expenditures in the United States grew 4% to \$2.5 trillion, or \$8,086 per person, and accounted for 20% of gross domestic product (GDP). *National Health Expenditure Data Fact Sheet*, Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services, *available at* http://www.cms.gov/NationalHealthExpendData/25_NHE_Fact_Sheet.asp.

The millions of uninsured people burden the fragmented and dysfunctional healthcare system nationwide and strain the economy. *The Instability of Health Coverage in America: Hearing Before the S. Comm. on Health of H. Comm. on Ways and Means*, 110th Cong. (Apr. 15, 2008) (statement of Diane Rowland, Exec. V.P. of the Kaiser Family Foundation) (“*The Instability of Health Coverage in America*”). Congress found that the cost of providing uncompensated care to the uninsured in 2008 was \$43 billion. 42 U.S.C.A. § 18091(a)(2)(F). These costs are paid for by health care providers who pass on the cost to private insurers, which pass on the cost to those who are insured. *Id.*

II. THE ACA SOUGHT TO ELIMINATE THE UNAVAILABILITY AND UNAFFORDABILITY OF HEALTH INSURANCE FOR MILLIONS OF AMERICANS, ESPECIALLY 50 TO 64 YEAR OLDS WHO MUST PURCHASE HEALTH INSURANCE ON THE INDIVIDUAL MARKET.

In 2009, 8.6 million 50 to 64 year olds were uninsured. Sara R. Collins et al., *Realizing Health Reform's Potential: Adults Ages 50-64 and the Affordable Care Act of 2010*, The Commonwealth Fund, 1 (Dec. 2010) (“*Realizing Health Reform's Potential*”). This was almost a 25% increase from the 7.1 million uninsured 50 to 64 year olds in 2007. Gerry Smolka et al., *Health Care Reform: What's at Stake for 50- to 64-Year-Olds?* AARP Public Policy Inst., 1 (Mar. 2009) (“*What's at Stake for 50- to 64-Year-Olds?*”). Without health insurance coverage, an individual pays the full the cost of health services out of pocket. Considering that it costs tens to hundreds of thousands of dollars for medical care for cancer, heart attacks, and numerous surgeries, only the wealthiest uninsured have the ability to afford care when a major illness or accident occurs. *See infra* p13; David Himmelstein, Deborah Thorne, Elizabeth Warren & Steffie Woolhandler, *Medical Bankruptcy in the United States, 2007: Results of a National Study*, *American Journal of Medicine*, 122 Am. J. Med. 741 (2009) (“*Medical Bankruptcy in the United States*”).

Half of all Americans (54%) received employer-sponsored health coverage in 2006. Rowland, *The Instability of Health Coverage in America, supra*, at 11.⁵ People under the age of 65 without job based coverage, have no option but to attempt to purchase health insurance on the individual market if they are not eligible for Medicaid or Medicare.⁶ However, for people approaching retirement, coverage through the individual health insurance market is often unaffordable, if not completely unavailable as they are often denied coverage. *Understanding Individual Health Insurance Markets: Structure, Practices, and Products in Ten States*, The Kaiser Family Foundation (Mar. 17, 1998), available at <http://www.kff.org/insurance/1376-barrierspr.cfm> (“*Understanding Individual Health Insurance Markets*”); Smolka, *What’s at Stake for 50- to- 64 Year Olds?* at 5.

Insurers routinely deny coverage to applicants with a wide variety of prior health problems such as rheumatoid arthritis, chronic headaches, kidney stones,

⁵ With the U.S. economy now in the midst of a downturn, employee coverage is in jeopardy for many as unemployment levels climbed from 4.9% in December 2007 to 9.5% in June 2009. Rowland, *Health Care and the Middle Class: More Costs and Less Coverage, supra* fn 3, at 1. In 2011, unemployment is at 9%, a continuing problem. See *The Employment Situation – January 2011*, Bureau of Labor Statistics, Dept. of Labor, <http://www.bls.gov/news.release/pdf/empst.pdf>.

⁶ Most uninsured 50 to 64 year olds are not eligible either for Medicare, the federal health insurance program for people age 65 or older (and some disabled people under age 65 and people with end-stage renal disease), or Medicaid, a joint federal and state run insurance program for low-income people with young or older dependents or who are disabled. Gretchen Jacobson et al., *Health Insurance for Older Adults: Implications of a Medicare Buy-In*, Kaiser Family Foundation, 1 (Dec. 2009) (“*Health Insurance for Older Adults*”).

angina, heart disease, or stroke. The Kaiser Family Foundation, *Understanding Individual Health Insurance Markets*, *supra*. In recent decades, the unavailability of coverage for the near Medicare age segment has worsened. According to a 2007 survey of 50 to 64 year olds who purchased or tried to buy a non-group health plan, 45% found it very difficult or impossible to buy coverage they needed, 61% found it very difficult or impossible to buy affordable coverage, 39% were turned down, charged a higher price, or only offered coverage that excluded a preexisting condition, and 69% never bought a plan. Collins, *Realizing Health Reform's Potential*, at 3.

In response to the alarming number of uninsured people closed out of the healthcare system and the adverse impact it has on the national economy, Congress enacted the ACA to, among other things, increase availability and improve affordability of health insurance for millions of Americans, especially 50 to 64 year olds, who must purchase health insurance on the individual market. *See* 42 U.S.C.A. § 18091(a).

A. People 50 to 64 Are Systematically Denied Coverage or Priced Out of the Individual Market Because of Their High Incidences of Pre-Existing and Chronic Conditions.

Under the current healthcare system, health insurers attempt to avoid almost all risk. This is particularly true in the individual market where insurers have adopted industry-wide practices “to cherry pick healthy people and to weed out

those who are not as healthy.” H.R. Rep. No. 111-443, at 990 (2010). The individual market systematically denies coverage, limits benefits, and charges excessive premiums to individuals with pre-existing conditions or those perceived to be a high-risk. *Id.* at 981.

As people age, they are likely to have a prior or current health condition that an insurer would deem too risky to insure. While 9% to 35% of 18 to 24 year olds have a pre-existing health condition, 48% to 86% of people ages 55 to 64 have a pre-existing condition. *At Risk: Pre-Existing Conditions Could Affect 1 in 2 Americans*, U.S. Dept. of Health and Human Servs. (HHS) (Jan. 18, 2011), <http://www.healthcare.gov/center/reports/preexisting.html> (“*At Risk: Pre-Existing Conditions Could Affect 1 in 2 Americans*”). While 36% of 18 to 34 year olds had one or more chronic condition, the number rose to 77% for those 55 to 64. Steven Machlin et al., *Health Care Expenses for Adults with Chronic Conditions*, 2005, Statistical Brief #203, Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (May 2008).

When someone applies for health insurance on the individual market, the insurance company conducts an initial underwriting review of the applicant, eliminating likely high cost applicants. Non-group health insurers typically consider an applicant’s current health status, medical history, and other characteristics, such as age, that are indicators of future medical costs. When an insurer determines that an applicant is high risk, the carrier often refuses to issue a

policy or issues a policy with an exclusion or elimination rider under which services for a specific condition are temporarily or permanently excluded and/or charges significantly higher premium rates. Mark Merlis, *Health Coverage for the High-Risk Uninsured: Policy Options for Design of the Temporary High-Risk Pool*, 2 Policy Analysis, National Institute for Health Care Reform, 2 (May 2010). The increased premiums for people in the individual market with pre-existing health conditions or risk factors, called “rate-ups,” typically range from 20% to 80% above the base rate depending on the applicant's medical history. The Kaiser Family Foundation, *Understanding Individual Health Insurance Markets*, *supra*.

Commonly used exclusion and elimination riders effectively leave people who have acute or chronic illnesses in the same posture as they would be with no insurance. The problem of people who are under-insured is significant as 29% of people with insurance have inadequate coverage should they suffer a serious or catastrophic illness. H.R. Rep. No. 111-443, at 980 (citing to *Health Insurance: CR Investigates Health Care*, Consumer Reports (Sept. 2007)).

Congress heard countless tragic stories of under-insured people who could not receive treatment for serious illnesses and were saddled with crushing medical debts as a result of having policies with high deductibles, co-payments, and annual and lifetime limits. For example:

Randy, 63 years old, Pennsylvania

Following his diagnosis with Stage IV esophageal cancer, Randy had surgery to remove his esophagus and stomach. Radiation and intense chemotherapy followed. Randy quickly reached the \$100,000 lifetime cap on his major medical coverage and now receives no further benefits. He has paid out of pocket for follow-up scans and labs to monitor his condition. [...] Randy's only option, a guaranteed issue policy, includes a pre-existing condition exclusionary period of up to three years. [...] Ultimately, Randy will have to wait two years to become Medicare eligible. He has no other choice.

Kay, 61 years old, Florida

Kay works part time at a large department store earning \$13,000 per year. She has insurance through her employer but quickly exceeded the plan's \$25,000 annual maximum following her diagnosis with Stage II breast cancer. She has received eight cycles of pre-operative chemotherapy, had a lumpectomy with auxiliary lymph node dissection, and now needs radiation. Kay already has \$40,000 in outstanding medical bills from various diagnostic tests that were not covered. Now she's been told that she cannot begin radiation unless she plans to bring \$115,000 with her to the first appointment.

The Instability of Health Coverage in America: Hearing Before the S. Comm. on Health of H. Comm. on Ways and Means, 110th Cong. (Apr. 15, 2008) (statement of Stephen Finan, Assoc. Dir. of Policy, American Cancer Society).

B. Age Rating Substantially Raises Standard Insurance Rates Based Solely on Age Making Insurance Unaffordable for People 50 to 64.

In addition to facing rate-ups based on pre-existing or chronic conditions, 50 to 64 year olds are charged rates for insurance frequently 3 to 6, and as much as 11, times greater than their younger counterparts solely based on their age. *See Karen Pollitz et al., How Accessible is Individual Health Insurance for Consumers in*

Less-Than-Perfect Health? Georgetown Univ. Inst. For Health Care Research and Policy, The Kaiser Family Foundation (June 2001); Len Nichols, Dir., Ctr. for Health Policy Research and Ethics at George Mason Univ., Comment to *Age Rating: Battle of the Generations*, National Law Journal Expert Blogs (Aug. 31, 2009), <http://healthcare.nationaljournal.com/2009/08/age-rating-battle-of-the-gener.php>. Even a healthy 50 to 64 year-old with no pre-existing conditions faces markedly higher rates than younger people.

Because people's health declines as they age, leading to increased claims, insurers use the applicant's age, commonly referred to as age rating, when setting the applicant's premium rates. *Health Insurance Rate Regulation*, NAIC & the Ctr. for Ins. and Policy Research, https://www.naic.org/documents/topics_health_insurance_rate_regulation_brief.pdf. This practice puts the cost of health insurance out of reach to many in the pre-Medicare age group. "For many older adults and older families, the higher out-of-pocket costs that come with greater medical use in older age, combined with high premiums due to steep age rating, would lead to a high burden of total health care costs relative to income." Linda J. Blumberg et al., *Age Rating Under Comprehensive Health Care Reform: Implications for Coverage, Costs, and Household Financial Burdens*, Urban Institute, 8 (Oct. 2009).

Prior to the ACA, the health care rating system in the non-group market was largely unregulated. When ACA was enacted, 33 states had no limit on ratings. *Individual Market Rate Restrictions*, The Kaiser Family Foundation, statehealthfacts.org (2010), <http://www.statehealthfacts.org/comparetable.jsp?ind=354&cat=7>. While several states imposed rate restrictions for the individual market, only six states limited insurers from increasing standard rates based on age by ratios equal to or lower than the 3:1 age rating ratio limit in the ACA.⁷ See Julie Appleby, *Health Insurance: How Much More Should Older People Pay?* Kaiser Health News, Curbing the Age Gap Chart (Aug. 31, 2009), <http://www.kaiserhealthnews.org/Stories/2009/August/31/age-rating.aspx>. The lack of rate limits across the states necessitated Congress to limit the rate disparities adversely affecting 50 to 64 year olds.

The charts below prepared by AARP Public Policy Institute (PPI) contain premium rates in several states quoted from the ehealthinsurance.com website in June 2009 and February 2011.⁸ They illustrate that even before health status is

⁷ Starting in 2014, insurers may not vary rates by more than 3:1 for age. 42 U.S.C.A. § 300gg(a)(1)(A)(iii). See *infra* p 28.

⁸ Ehealthinsurance.com is affiliated with eHealthInsurance Services, Inc., a private, for-profit company that aggregates and sells health insurance online for individuals, families, and small businesses. It is licensed to market and sell health insurance in all 50 states and the District of Columbia, for more than 180 health insurance companies, offering more than 10,000 health insurance products. <https://www.ehealthinsurance.com/about-ehealth-index>.

taken into account, a 60 year old will pay between three-to-seven times more than a 20 year-old for the same plan. These quotes do not reflect the premiums that someone with pre-existing health problems would face or out-of-pocket costs for deductibles and cost sharing, such as paying co-payments or a percent of medical bills.

The chart below reflects the rate disparities for older and younger purchasers of “best-selling” plans offered for July 1, 2009, from ehealthinsurance.com:⁹

⁹ To get a sense of how rates for the same plan vary by age, PPI searched rates in the zip code where the AARP state office is located. Rate quotes were sought for a male, non-smoker age 60, and then age 20. For each location, PPI obtained an online quote for one of the plans that ehealthinsurance.com listed as a “bestseller” for 60 year olds. PPI then obtained a quote for the identical plan for the 20 year old. These prices reflect rates prior to any medical underwriting for health status of the individual.

The brief descriptions of the plans in the table are at a very high level. Plans vary in their benefit features, which includes the annual deductible, coinsurance and/or copayments, network requirements, and drug benefits. Rates for a particular plan reflect the design of the specific benefit package, the size and age range within the risk pool, and the underlying health care costs and benefit utilization of those in the plan’s risk pool. As such, the rates reflect the prices and practice patterns in a geographic area and plan overhead.

Depending on the state’s rate regulations for the individual market, an insurer determines the premium for an applicant based on the company’s rate methodology; premiums commonly reflect applicant’s age, gender, health, smoking status, individual/family status, and other allowed rating factors. Plans that carry the AARP name are made available in most states, but were not included in this survey because the provider of those plans only offers them to AARP members age 50 to 64.

Ehealthinsurance.com Premiums				
State	60 yr old male	20 yr old male	Cost for 60 yr old relative to 20 yr old	A “Best Selling” Plan (Benefit packages are highly varied. See fn 9)
	Monthly premium	Monthly premium	(Monthly premium for age 60 is X times that for age 20)	
Arkansas	\$210	\$41	5.1 times	Humana Autograph Total/5000 Plus Rx
Arizona	\$181	\$38	4.7 times	Blue Value 10000
Delaware	\$294	\$45	6.5 times	Aetna PPO Value 7500
Florida	\$286	\$38	7.5 times	Aetna POA OA Value 5000
Idaho	\$288	\$66	4.4 times	Regence Now/Select 2500
Iowa	\$216	\$50	4.3 times	Humana Autograph Share 80//5000 Plus Rx & Doctor Co-pay
Kansas	\$195	\$49	4 times	Coventry One K1Q08A25025 30 (HSA Compatible)
Kentucky	\$198	\$49	4 times	Anthem Blue Access Value \$2000
Michigan	\$347	\$81	4.3 times	Aetna PPO 1500
Montana	\$431	\$125	3.5 times	BCBS HDHP Montana Option I
Nevada	\$196	\$36	5.4 times	Sierra Distinct Advantage PPO Plan4 5000
New Jersey	\$531	\$170	3 times	Horizon Basic & Essential EPO Plus
New Mexico	\$224	\$75	3 times	Blue Direct Plan B 2000
Oregon	\$193	\$53	3.5 times	Lifewise Wise Essentials 7500

Texas	\$231	\$49	4.7 times	Blue Edge Individual HSA Plan VII 5000
Utah	\$159	\$28	5.7 times	Humana Monogram Total/7500 Plus Rx
Washington	\$241	\$67	3.6 times	GroupHealth HealthPays HSA 2000 Individual Catastrophic
West Virginia	\$311	\$62	5 times	UnitedHealth One Copay Seclect 70-5000
Wyoming	\$381	\$79	4.8 times	Aetna WY PPO Value 2500

The chart below reflects the rate disparities for older and younger purchasers of “best-selling” plans offered for March 1, 2011, from ehealthinsurance.com:

Ehealthinsurance.com Premiums				
State	60 yr old male	20 yr old male	Cost for 60 yr-old relative to 20 yr-old	A “Best Selling” Plan (Benefit packages are highly varied. See fn 9)
	Monthly premium	Monthly premium	(Monthly premium for age 60 is X times that for age 20)	
Maryland	\$472	\$113	4.2 times	CareFirst Personal Comp HSA - \$2,500
North Carolina	\$422	\$89	4.7 times	UnitedHealthOne Copay Select 70-5000
South Carolina	\$570	\$106	5.4 times	BCBS SC Personal Blue Basic Single \$1500 w Drug Card
Virginia	\$260	\$75	3.5 times	Anthem Smart Sense 750
W. Virginia	\$453	\$102	4.4 times	CelticBasic PPO 80/20 Plan

Although there is an actuarial basis for charging older people higher premiums, they often are unable to afford the higher rates. More than half of uninsured people age 55-64 live below 200% of the federal poverty line, making it extremely difficult for them to afford to purchase age rated private insurance.¹⁰ Jacobsen, *Health Insurance for Older Adults*, *supra* fn 6, at 3.

An analysis of the March 2008 Current Population Survey found that among uninsured adults, median family income is virtually identical across all age groups. For example, the median family income for uninsured 18 to 24 year olds was \$28,461, whereas it was \$30,000 for uninsured 50 to 64 year olds. Lynn Nonnemaker, PhD, *Beyond Age Rating: Spreading Risk in Health Insurance Markets* 3, AARP Public Policy Inst., Insight on the Issues I35, (Oct. 2009). Accordingly, when looking to the individual market to buy coverage, uninsured people age 50 to 64 are not better able to afford, compared to younger adults, premiums that are many times more expensive than the standard rates available to younger adults. *See also*, Blumberg, *Age Rating Under Comprehensive Health Care Reform*. Considering the small income difference among ages, keeping premiums within a moderate range for all is a key to keeping them affordable for everyone over their lifetime.

¹⁰ In 2009 and 2010, gross yearly income of \$21,660 for an individual was 200% below the poverty line. Fed. Reg. Vol. 74, No. 14, Jan. 23, 2009, pp 4199; Fed. Reg. Vol. 75, No. 148, Aug. 3, 2010, pp. 45628.

III. UNINSURED AND UNDERINSURED PEOPLE SUFFER WORSE HEALTH OUTCOMES REQUIRING MORE INTENSIVE AND COSTLIER CARE WHEN THEY ENTER MEDICARE AT 65 THAN PREVIOUSLY INSURED PEOPLE.

Because insurance is the gateway to receiving health care in the U.S., the uninsured and underinsured suffer the worse health outcomes or even premature death. Jill Bernstein et al., Issue Brief, *How Does Insurance Coverage Improve Health Outcomes?* Mathematica Policy Research, Inc., 1 (Apr. 2010). Uninsured people generally receive much less care, either preventive or for acute and chronic conditions, than insured people. For example, uninsured adults are less likely than insured adults to receive preventive services or screenings, such as mammograms, pap smears, or prostate screening. When people do not receive adequate prevention and screening, they are at an increased risk for developing serious illnesses and receiving delayed treatment when ill. *Id.*

Older people without coverage are even more at risk of declining health and at much greater risk of premature death than their insured peers. J. Michael McWilliams et al., *Health Insurance Coverage and Mortality Among the Near-Elderly*, 23 Health Affairs, 223-233 (2004). One study showed that continuously uninsured people ages 52 to 61 were 63% more likely than insured people to have a decline in their overall health and 23% more likely to have a new physical difficulty that affected mobility such as walking or climbing stairs. Even intermittently uninsured participants were at increased risk for declines in overall

health and mobility because of the periods in which they were less likely to have a primary care provider, more likely to delay seeking care, and more likely to go without needed care. David W. Baker, MD, M.P.H. et al., *Lack Of Health Insurance And Decline In Overall Health In Late Middle Age*, 345 New Eng. J. Med. 1106, 1108 (2001), available at <http://www.nejm.org/doi/pdf/10.1056/NEJMsa002887>. Alarming, in 2006 an estimated 22,000 Americans died prematurely as a consequence of being uninsured. Rowland, *The Instability of Health Coverage in America*, *supra*.

Significantly, the large number of uninsured pre-Medicare age people costs Medicare greatly. Uninsured people with deteriorated health conditions bring their problems with them when they become eligible for Medicare. “Near-elderly adults who were uninsured required more intensive and costlier care in the Medicare program after the age of 65 years than previously insured adults.” J. Michael McWilliams et al, *Use of Health Services by Previously Uninsured Medicare Beneficiaries*, 347 New Eng. J. Med. 143-153 (2007). Providing health insurance coverage for near-elderly adults reduces their health care use and spending after age 65. *Id.* at 153.

Although all previously uninsured Medicare recipients had higher utilization of health care services once they became eligible for Medicare, the impact and costs were far greater for those with hypertension, diabetes, heart disease, or

stroke, where prevention and routine care can prevent costly acute care hospitalizations and interventions. *Id.* The uninsured with these chronic conditions reported 13% more doctor visits, 20% more hospitalizations, and 51% higher total medical expenditures from ages 65 to 72 than did the previously insured adults. *Id.* at 143.

“Uninsured adults – particularly those approaching age 65 – sometimes defer necessary care until they qualify for Medicare, putting their health at risk and increasing costs to the Medicare program.” Jacobsen, *Health Insurance for Older Adults*; *supra* p. 8, at 1, *citing* J. Michael McWilliams, M.D. et al., *Impact of Medicare Coverage on Basic Clinical Services for Previously Uninsured Adults*, JAMA 290, 757-64 (Aug. 2003).

Congress considered the financial impact on Medicare:

The status quo masks hidden cost to the Medicare Program when millions of uninsured adults enroll in Medicare. Uninsured adults, particularly those with chronic medical conditions, have fewer visits to physicians and fewer hospitalizations than insured adults in similar health before age 65. After becoming eligible for Medicare, uninsured adults have a rapid increase in physician visits and hospitalizations that persist for at least seven years after age 65.

Their care in the Medicare Program is thus more costly, because they reach age 65 in worse health and have more immediate and expensive medical needs than if they had been insured and well-treated in their fifties and sixties. If all adults in this age group had insurance coverage, the cost of covering them could be off-set by

better health and potential savings for the Medicare program.

The Instability of Health Coverage in America: Hearing Before the S. Comm. on Health of H. Comm. on Ways and Means, 110th Cong. (Apr. 15, 2008) (statement of John Z. Ayanian, MD, Prof. of Med. and Health Care Policy, Harvard Med. School).

IV. MILLIONS OF UNINSURED PEOPLE HAVE ACCUMULATED LARGE MEDICAL DEBTS AND LOST THE ABILITY TO LEAVE OR MOVE JOBS.

A. The Uninsured and Underinsured Incur Greater Medical Debt and Experience More Financial Difficulties, Including Bankruptcy, Than Insured People.

Health spending for health insurance premiums and out of pocket medical costs is higher among older adults than for younger adults. Total health spending in this older age group in 2005 was more than 1.5 times greater than the 19 to 49 age group. Of the older group, 28% spent more than 10% of family income on health whereas only 16% of the younger group spent more than 10%. J. Banthin & D. Bernard, *Analysis of Medical Expenditure Panel Surveys*, unpublished data provided to AARP, Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) (on file). The share of older adults spending 10% or more of their income varies depending upon their insurance status. It is highest among those in the individual market (67%) and those with public coverage (38%) than among those with employer coverage (25%). Smolka, *What's at Stake for 50-to-64-Year-Olds?* Table 1 at 2.

The increased costs of care combined with the high rates of individuals who either are uninsured or underinsured, has led to extreme financial hardship for many. A recent Kaiser survey found that “one in four (24%) Americans continue to struggle with paying for health care. Health care ranks as a ‘serious problem’ above paying for food (18%), problems with debt (16%), and paying the rent or mortgage (15%). Three in ten of those with two or more hospital overnight stays (31%) and two or more emergency room visits (30%) in the past year also report problems paying for care.” *Kaiser Health Tracking Poll: Election 2008*, The Kaiser Family Foundation (Aug. 19, 2008), *available at* http://www.kff.org/kaiserpolls/h08_posr081908pkg.cfm.

Illness and medical bills contribute to a large and increasing share of bankruptcies. The cost for non-routine medical care is enormous. For example, “[a]mong common diagnoses, non-stroke neurologic illnesses such as multiple sclerosis were associated with the highest out-of-pocket expenditures (mean \$34,167), followed by diabetes (\$26,971), injuries (\$25,096), stroke (\$23,380), mental illnesses (\$23,178), and heart disease (\$21,955).” Himmelstein, *Medical Bankruptcy in the United States, 2007*, at 4 (though findings were applicable to all age populations, the study’s authors noted that medical debtors tend to be older).

B. Older People Who Cannot Afford to Lose Their Job Based Health Insurance Experience Job Lock.

Workers with a pre-existing condition or with dependent family members with a pre-existing condition avoid changing jobs for fear of losing their insurance coverage. Chronically ill workers are 40% less likely to leave their job if they get insurance through work compared to those that get insurance elsewhere. K.T. Stroupe et al., *Chronic Illness and Health Insurance-Related Job Lock*, 20 J. Policy Analysis and Mgmt., 525-544 (2001). This “job lock” is common: individuals and families make job and career decisions based on protecting insurance for themselves or a member of their family who may not otherwise have health insurance.

This is especially true among older workers, the majority of whom have a pre-existing condition. Such workers may want to reduce their hours to part-time or go into business on their own, but that is often not possible given the loss of employer-based health insurance. Workers over 65 who are covering on their health plan a younger spouse with health problems must continue to work beyond age 65 so their spouse can maintain coverage. HHS, *At Risk: Pre-Existing Conditions Could Affect 1 in 2 Americans*, *supra* pp. 11-12. *See also*, Robert W. Fairlie et al., *Is Employer-Based Health Insurance a Barrier to Entrepreneurship?* Rand Corp., (Sept. 2010) (working paper), http://www.rand.org/pubs/working_papers/WR637-1.html (finding that job-lock has rippling effects in other corners of

the economy because the threat of losing coverage restricts the formation of businesses effectively altering who can become entrepreneurs).

V. THE ACA’S CREATION OF A ROBUST INSURANCE POOL SPREADS INSURANCE RISKS, WHICH IN TURN ALLOWS FOR AFFORDABLE HEALTH CARE INSURANCE OPTIONS FOR AMERICANS OF ALL AGES AND WITH CHRONIC OR PRE-EXISTING HEALTH CONDITIONS.

Congress recognized that, among other things, creating a robust insurance pool is essential in order to expand coverage for people who have been shut out from the current system due to health status or age.¹¹ Private health coverage products “pool” the risk of high health care costs across a large number of people, both healthy and sick, permitting them to pay a premium based on the average cost of medical care for the group of people.¹² This risk-spreading function helps make the cost of health care reasonably affordable for most people. Gary Claxton, Inst.

¹¹ In addition to expanding the risk pool to ensure affordable coverage options, ACA provides subsidies in the form of refundable and advanceable tax credits for low-to-moderate income people. Advanceable tax credits allow individuals to receive assistance when purchasing insurance instead of waiting to be reimbursed, while refundable tax credits ensure that individuals are still eligible for assistance even when they do not have tax liability. Beginning in 2014, with certain limited exceptions, individuals and families earning 400% of the poverty line or less will be eligible to receive these tax credits, so long as they do not have access to health insurance through an employer or other public health insurance program. 26 U.S.C.A. § 36B(a)-(c).

¹² “Pooling” is the insurance industry practice of combining the insurance risk of individuals or groups in order to determine premiums. Hinda Chaikind et al., *Private Health Insurance Provisions in the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act (PPACA)*, Prepared for Members and Comms. of Cong., Congressional Research Service, 13 (May 4, 2010).

for Health Care Research and Policy, Georgetown Univ., *How Private Insurance Works: A Primer*, The Kaiser Family Foundation, 1 (Apr. 2002).

Congress therefore rationally concluded that expanding the risk pool would make insurance more accessible and affordable for those who have been unable to obtain coverage and care.

By significantly increasing health insurance coverage, the [minimum coverage] requirement, together with the other provisions of this Act, will minimize this adverse selection and broaden the health insurance risk pool to include healthy individuals, which will lower health insurance premiums. The provision is essential to creating effective health insurance markets in which improved health insurance products that are guaranteed issue and do not exclude coverage of pre-existing conditions can be sold.

42 U.S.C.A. § 18091(a)(2)(I).

Without the minimum coverage provision, premiums in the individual market would rise by about 11% since young healthy individuals would opt out of coverage. Jonathan Gruber, *Health Care Reform without the Individual Mandate*, Ctr. for American Progress (Feb. 2011), *available at* http://www.americanprogress.org/issues/2011/02/gruber_mandate.html. The CBO estimates that removing the minimum coverage provision will cut the number of individuals newly insured in half (from 32 million to 16 million). *Id.* Estimates regarding the amount premiums in the individual market will rise without the minimum coverage provision range between 15 to 27%. *Id.*

Including the minimum coverage provision, which begins in 2014, enabled Congress to include consumer protections in the ACA that will help millions of Americans obtain care and coverage, which in turn will substantially benefit the national economy. Among other things, insurers will no longer be able to deny people coverage because of preexisting health conditions or consider health status in setting premiums, helping to make coverage available and more affordable to those with health problems and pre-existing conditions. 42 U.S.C.A. §§ 300gg, 300gg-1(a). In addition, ACA significantly ameliorates the age rating disparities because insurers starting in 2014 will not be allowed to vary rates by more than 3:1 for age. *Id.* § 300gg(a)(1)(A)(iii). For example, a premium for a 64-year-old man may not be more than three times higher than the same policy for a 20 year old man, thus improving the status quo by making premiums more affordable to 50 to 64 year olds.

Congress had the Constitutional authority to create a robust pool in order to achieve successful expansion of coverage options for the 50 to 64 age group, as well as others who have been priced out of the U.S. healthcare system, such as people with pre-existing conditions or who need treatment for chronic illnesses or disabilities.

CONCLUSION

For the forgoing reasons, Amicus AARP urges the Court to reverse the decision below.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Stuart R. Cohen

Stuart R. Cohen

Counsel of Record

Stacy Canan

AARP Foundation Litigation

Michael Schuster

AARP

601 E Street, NW

Washington, DC 20049

202-434-2160

Counsel for *Amicus Curiae* AARP

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE WITH RULE 32(a)

Pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 32(a)(7)(C), I hereby certify that this brief contains 6,856 words, excluding the portions of the brief exempted by Fed. R. App. P. 32(a)(7)(B)(iii), and has been prepared in a proportionally spaced typeface using Microsoft Word 2007 in Times New Roman 14-point font.

/s/ Stuart R. Cohen

Stuart R. Cohen

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this 7th day of March, 2011, the foregoing Brief for *Amicus Curiae* was filed with the Court's ECF system, and accordingly was served electronically on all parties.

I also hereby certify that I have caused copies to be delivered to the Court by Federal Express.

/s/ Stuart R. Cohen

Stuart R. Cohen